

Autism Partnership Board

Minutes Wednesday 15 October 2014

Members in attendance:	
Annabel Edwards	Southern Health
Helen Fletcher	Southern Health
Debi Game	SUCO
Marjan Ghazirad	Southern Health
Olga Hamer	FACT Bucks
Alice Kilvert	Southern Health
Richard Maguire	
Vicky Norman	Oxford Health
Gail Prince	Special School Representative
Andrew Robertson	Oxford Health
Ena Smale	FACT / parent

Others in attendance:	
Sue Butt	Children and Young People
	Commissioner
Amy Moore	Joint Commissioner - Transitions
Suzy Paylor	Buckinghamshire County Council
Clare Price	Buckinghamshire County Council
Gillian Shurrock	Buckinghamshire County Council
Stephen Stych	Buckinghamshire County Council

No	Item
1	Environment Check
2	Confirmation of Co-Chair Olga Hamer was confirmed as Co-Chair of the Autism Partnership Board.
3	Apologies for Absence / Changes in Membership Apologies for absence were received from Robin Greenfield, Clare Samways, Sally Slade, Polly Wilkinson, Ian King and Zita Calkin.

4 Minutes and Matters Arising

The minutes of the meeting held on 13 August 2014 were agreed as a correct record.

Matters Arising

Item 4 – Carers Bucks/Young Carers

Questions about the issue of Young Carers and depression are to be taken back to Young Carer Managers for comments and feedback.

Action: Ann Whiteley

Item 5 – Diagnostic Pathway for Adults

The possibility of details of the Pathway being publicised in the SUCO bulletin is to be discussed with CCGs.

Action: Zita Calkin

Item 7 – update from Working Groups

Funding has been agreed for the development of an E-learning module. It is hoped that the information will also be available on an external website. The feedback received from Richard Maguire and Lisa Burridge has been invaluable.

Item 8 – Innovation Fund

A presentation about the Innovation Fund was made at the Assistive Technology Board meeting. Adam Willison, Assistive Technology Joint Commissioning Manager is keen to discuss how Assistive Technology can be used to support those with autism and learning difficulties. A bid for funding from the Department of Health has been submitted.

5 Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND) Reforms Overview and update

Gill Shurrock, Head of SEN, Suzy Paylor, SEN Manager/Service Development, Stephen Stych, NICE Guidelines Autism Pathways and Sue Butt, Operations Manager Commissioning, were welcomed to the meeting.

Gill Shurrock explained that the report included in the agenda pack is an update of the progress since the last Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND) Board meeting.

In terms of the background to the SEND Reforms, three years ago the Government announced a change to the way Local Authorities address the SEN processes.

Young people with more complex needs used to have a Statement of SEN. This is a legal document which sets out the needs of the child, the objectives and the provision to be put in place to meet their needs in school. This could be a local school or one with additional provision. The statutory process of an SEN Statement was 26 weeks.

In accordance with the Children and Families Act 2014, the Local Authority has to publish information for parents and carers of children and young people with special educations needs or who have a disability about local provision and support in the form of the Local Offer. The Local Offer has two clear purposes;

- To provide clear, comprehensive and accessible information about provision available and;
- To make provision more responsive to local needs and aspirations by directly involving children and young people with SEN, parents, carers and service providers in its development and review.

The statutory deadline for publication is the 1 September 2014.

It has also been announced that the Statement Process following a statutory assessment has changed. Education Healthcare Plans (EHC) will be introduced across England and Wales from September 2014. The EHC Plans will cover the education, health and social care needs of the child. In Bucks work is taking place in partnership with parents and carers and in conjunction with FACT Bucks to put the family at

the centre of the new processes. EHC Plans are predominately education based and are a co-production approach to write down the education needs for each child.

Changes to the process include;

- The process now has a 20 week deadline
- Older young people (16+) in college who had a learning disability assessment had a Section 139a/ Learning Difficulty Assessment (LDA) to identify a young their learning difficulties and/ or disabilities as they move on to post 16 education, training or higher education and to identify suitable provision to meet their needs. The process was having an SEN prior to the age of 16 followed by a LDA at college. These were two different process and two different documents. There is now the opportunity to apply for an EHC Plan which gives a continuation of the process.
- Parents and carers can appeal against an EHC Plan
- An EHC Plan assessment is required for any new requests. Effectively, there are two processes taking place at the same time. The Government has advised that all Local Authorities are required to convert existing Statements into EHC Plans between September 2014 and end of March 2018. In Buckinghamshire, there are 3300 Statements to be converted to EHC Plans.
- The processes for EHC Plans may take two/three times longer than Statements. This will have a significant impact on the amount of staff required to carry out the processes.

Personal budgets

- A pot of money has been identified for the possible use by families who wish to purchase an item in the SEN Statement/EHC Plan. A Personal Budget cannot be used to pay for a child's schooling costs. If a child attends a special school such as Chiltern Gate, a personal budget cannot be used to send the child to an independent school elsewhere.
- A Direct Payment can be used for short breaks in Bucks
- Personal budgets are one of the main areas of challenge in the SEN Code of Practice as it is unclear what personal budgets can be used for.

- The use of a Personal Budget has to be in agreement with the school.
- It is understood that parents cannot appeal to tribunal for a Personal Budget but this is not in the regulations.

Suzy Paylor reported that training has taken place in schools about what Personal Budgets can be used for. It is about being creative i.e. the purchase of an I Pad. The use of a Personal Budget needs to be linked to an outcome in the Education Plan i.e. swimming or work experience. Personal Budgets cannot be used for commissioned services i.e. Speech and Language Therapy Services.

One of the challenges around the new SEND Reforms is communication with families. A working group has been set up in conjunction with FACT Bucks to address this issue. An SEND Reforms newsletter is issued on a termly basis and is available on the Bucks CC SEND Reforms website.

Bucks SEND News and the SEND Reforms leaflet give an overview of the Local Offer, EHC Plans and Personal Budgets and include contact details of organisations able to provide further information. The Local Authority has set up training sessions on the new reforms for parents, carers and staff.

The SEND Reform Board meets on a regular basis to look at the implementation and progress of any changes. It will take a while for the new process to bed in, for parents and carers to understand the process and for the Local Authority to ensure that the work being carried out is right.

During the update, the following questions were asked.

Is there any extra staff provision for converted Statements to EHC Plans? There is an SEN Reforms grant to help with the implementation of the new system. Local Authorities are able to take on more staff for two years but the receipt of future funding from the Government is unclear. There is a legal requirement to publish a conversion timetable. This

information has been published on the BCC website; http://www.buckscc.gov.uk/education/education-support/special-educational-needs/assessment-and-planning/

Can a Personal Budget be used to provide a child with support in the schools holidays when there is no routine? A Personal Budget cannot be used for support during school holidays as this is not an educational outcome and is over the 38 week period of the requirement for the child to be in school.

Olga reported that SENCO has commissioned some work around learning mentors and learning support units. This is a fantastic flexible use of available resources.

Gill Shurrock explained that in 2001 the graduated response to SEN was known as School Action or School Action Plus. Following on from the introduction of the SEND Reforms, School Action and School Action Plus are replaced with SEN Support with the main thrust being Assess, Plan, Do and Review. BCC has an SEN Support Plan template that schools can use to record SEN Support that children and young people are receiving and meets all the necessary statutory requirements that schools need to do. If the child moves to another school or their needs become more complex, a lot of information already existing to inform future plans and decisions can be shared with the receiving school through the SEN Support Plan.

Who is providing the training for the SEND Reforms? A training day with a lawyer about post 16 provision is being arranged for the 1 December 9.30am-3.30pm at Chartridge. Expressions of interest in attending the training session should be sent to Autism Partnership Board Clerk (to be forwarded to Gill Shurrock). It is recommended that a representative from each area of Adult Services attends the training.

Action: All

Do requests for statutory assessments still go to Children's Services within the Local Authority? Requests

for EHC Needs Assessment should still be sent to the SEN Team in Children's Services as the statutory duties of the Local Authority have not changed.

Now that the Education and Health and Care needs are under one plan, how does the appeal process work i.e. is an appeal about the Health part of the EHC the same process as an appeal about the Education part of the EHC? If the appeal is solely about the Health part of the EHC, Health would be expected to deal with the appeal. Mediation and conflict resolution are very important. In the South East of the country, 19 Authorities have a contract with a local mediation company to help resolve any issues.

How is Buckinghamshire progressing with the changes compared to other Local Authorities? Buckinghamshire is progressing well with the changes. The Department for Education has confirmed it is satisfied that the EHC Plan meets all the requirements.

Are there any examples of what the new EHC Plans look like? The BCC webpage gives details of the reforms, assessment and planning and training as well as an example of and EHC Plan (copy attached). http://www.buckscc.gov.uk/send

The possibility of setting up an animation of the EHC Plan for professionals to obtain the view of parents is being discussed with Communications as well as a You Tube Video Clip about the Local Offer.

Suggestions for increasing awareness and publicising Education, Health and Care Work Plans are to be sent to Gill Shurrock, Head of SEN.

Action: All

Amy Moore reported that Transitions training has just been completed. Video clips explaining the Transitions process from the perspective of year 9/10/11 students are now on the

BCC website.

http://www.buckschildrenstrust.org.uk/working-with-families/transitions/videos/

6 Children and Young People Autism Working Group

Suzy Paylor, SEN Manager explained that she has been a teacher for 9 years in ASD and set up an ASD provision prior to joining BCC. In 2005 a Co-ordination Group was set up in response to the National Autism Plan for children. The membership of the Co-ordination Group was quite prescriptive. It included representation from parents of children with autism and organisations from the north and south of the county. The Group looked at the diagnosis of autism in GP Practices and schools and how to become more autism friendly.

Gill Shurrock reported that there are a lot of work-strands currently taking place around SEN Review that took place in 2001 and the SEND Reforms.

There has been an increase in the number of young people being diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder. A piece of work was carried out in conjunction with the Head of Specialist Teaching to look at services available and provision.

It is being proposed that following on from a recent ASD review, a Working Group is set up to focus on ASD and the recommendations from the review and action to be undertaken. The Working Group will include representation from professional organisations. Expressions of interest in joining the work group were requested were requested from members of the Autism Partnership Board.

Action: All

Olga Hamer and Paulette Hunn expressed an interest in becoming members of the Working Group.

During discussions, the following questions were asked.

What services need to be represented on the Working Group? The previous Board included representation from Paediatrics, CAHMS, Social Care, Educational Psychology and Specialist Teaching Services.

Does the Working Group need to be separate to the Autism Partnership Board? Yes the Working Group needs to be separate to the Autism Partnership Board as previous set up was cumbersome which we do not want to replicate. The Working Group will not report to the Autism Partnership Board but to the SEND Board. An update on the work being carried will be given to the Autism Partnership Board.

Will timeframes be set for outcomes of work carried out by the Working Group? Feedback from meetings is very valuable. Representation from Specialist Schools could provide the vehicle for training and for further development.

What are the objectives and outcomes for the Working Group? The objectives and outcomes will be included in the Terms of Reference. The Working Group will also have tasks and actions to carry out. A list of objectives and outcomes will be compiled and sent members of the Autism Partnership Board.

Action: Suzy Paylor

The following comments were also made;

- It is important for the Working Group to include representation from mainstream schools, SENCO, CAHMS, Occupational Therapy and Speech and Language Therapy.
- It is important that key pieces of work are prioritised by the Working Group and there are key areas of focus. There is also the possibility of networking opportunities and wider engagement.
- Key areas of focus should include the diagnostic pathway

as well as the post diagnosis pathway and the creation of an autism training programme for parents and carers.

- It would be helpful for Service Users and carers from the Partnership Boards to comment on the draft Terms of Reference and help shape the Working Group.
- It is about having key people on the membership of the Working Group who can cascade information to the relevant teams and communities for input and feedback.

7 Preparation for Transitions/ SUCO

Amy Moore, Joint Commissioner, Transitions was welcomed to the meeting.

Amy gave the following update;

- Gill Shurrock has provided an overview of the EHC Plans, which from the adult side, can be started from age 11 onwards.
- Safeguarding training is key for colleagues in Adult Services. Training needs to be mapped against the Safeguarding Board pathway.
- The welfare of the child is paramount
- There has been a cap on Adult Social Care funding.
- In terms of the Local Authority being ready for the changes to the Care Act and reforms to the assessment of Adult Social Care Provision, specialist teams have been put in place. The expectation is the rest of the teams will pick up cases as work progresses.
- Assessments have been taking place for those ages 16+.
 There needs to be an awareness that assessments need to start at an early age.
- School lists and referrals are being received.
- Proactive contact needs to be made with schools making referrals as some children are approaching the age of 17.

- The possibility of having an Educational Worker in the team is being discussed who would predominately look at out of county referrals. There needs to be clarification of children coming back into the county.
- The Local Authority has been approached by a provider who would like to convert an existing building into a 10 bedroom unit and flat for the manager. The accommodation would be for those aged between 18-25 eligible for social care services who are in transition. The accommodation is not prescriptive i.e. for learning disabilities or mental health. It is about outcomes of supported living and getting individuals ready to live independently as the provision of the accommodation is not long term. A manager has been recruited who has a background in both autism and mental health provision. The accommodation will be part of three step programme which is to be confirmed.
- A post 16 college resource has been commissioned. This is a bespoke programme which can be continued over holiday periods.
- A small pilot is taking place over 18 months to determine if a cognitive support technology intervention could lead to increased independent living skills and to reduce the likelihood of requiring future services. This includes help with travel, training cooking and task scheduling to possibly access employment (use of a smartphone). Five young people were identified who did not meet the Fair Access to Care Services eligibility thresholds but could do in the future without some form of intervention were identified. Most had Autism or behavioural problems, were not accessing education, training or employment and needed support regarding independent living skills.

During the update, the following questions were asked.

What is the eligibility criteria for the supported accommodation i.e. FACS or service needs? The Local Authority has a limited say in who is able to stay at the accommodation as it has not commissioned the service.

Is the accommodation suitable for individuals with substantial needs who require a level in independence? The young people are from varying backgrounds i.e. some from the local college. The accommodation will be based on the Westcroft House, Western Road, Tring.

Is the accommodation self-contained, on license or tenancy as there could be potential ramifications when an individual needs to move into future accommodation i.e. the Local Authority refusing to rehouse the individual as they have a tenancy? The type of tenancy agreement would be down to the provider. As the accommodation is for individuals over the age of 18, the assumption is this would be an assured short-hold tenancy contract. Individuals still have the right to go via the Choice Based Lettings Scheme for which a Direct Payment can be used.

Are any statistics available for individuals with autism who are at a residential college who just shown up at a GP Practice? Gail Prince advised that there are 80 individuals within the Local Authority; 40 have a Learning Disability or some Social Care need; 20 have High Functioning Autism; 20 have a Learning Disability and challenging behaviour.

Where are the blockages in the services and what can the Autism Partnership Board do to help bridge this gap? Gail Prince explained that there are 16 recommendations in the report which were sent to Gillian Shurrock. The recommendations will be looked at by the Working Group.

Paulette Hunn advised that Children's Services do not use the same system as Adult Services which could result in some figures being lost.

Amy Moore reported that the Transitions Programme has provided funding for work to take place around access to all databases.

Gail Prince explained that the primary needs of many young

children have changed since they were given a statement. If ASD was not diagnosed as a need during when the statement took place, it is hoped that this will be picked up when the individual is in transition.

8 SUCO

Debi Game, Bucks Service User and Carer Organisation (SUCO), gave the following update.

Bucks SUCO is a small organisation which has been commissioned by the Local Authority to recruit and support and promote Service User and carers engagement in Buckinghamshire around adult services. Carers Bucks has been employed to host SUCO.

SUCO participates in the work of the Partnership Boards, the mechanism the Local Authority uses to engage formally with Service Users and Carers.

SUCO meets the travelling expenses for Service Users and Carers to attend meetings of the Partnership Board. It also provides induction training and information about the skills and qualities to bring to the Partnership Board meetings. Service Users and Carers are empowered to speak up for the communities they represent. It is important for the voice of those who access services to be put across in a constructive way.

Work undertaken by SUCO includes;

- Involvement in contracts and commissioning of services
- Working with the Local Authority on the Access to Information
- The set up and hosting of a group to discuss issues of importance to Service Users and Carers
- Involvement in the establishment of the Autism Partnership Board and Dementia Partnership Board.

Amy Moore explained that an organisation called Talkback runs information and advice sessions about reforms. There is good participation from adults, carers and families. The voice of Young People is missing from the information sessions. The possibility of the creation of a Young Peoples Board to fit into with the Learning Disability Board is looked at tentatively.

Young People and those going through the Transitions process can help shape and influence Assistive Technology, Equipment Services and Digital Platforms etc. It would have been good for young people to be involved in the development of the equipment catalogue. Amy advised that Jack Workman has been appointed as Assistive Technology Officer for Children and Young People for a period of 18 months. Jack has been tasked to look at the needs of young people and draft relevant proposals and suggestions of areas of work to be undertaken.

9 Health

Sue Butt, Operations Manager, Commissioning explained that the current CAMHS contract expires in 2015 and is currently out for re-tender. The timeline for the tender process is progressing.

In terms of resourcing, Stephen Stych is carrying out a piece of work to specifically look at the Health diagnostic pathway for autism from a commissioning perspective. The mapping exercise of the pathway and the GP Practice Model are also being undertaken. Work is also taking place with partners to look at those who do not achieve a diagnosis and setting up training and support for parents.

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) published clinical guidelines on the diagnostic pathway several years ago. Hampshire County Council has published an example of work they carried out, results, evaluation and key

learning points on the NICE website.

https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg128/resources/type-and-title-of-submission

During discussion, the following questions were asked.

What are the timescales in terms of this piece of work fitting in with the tendering process and the Working Group? In terms of current resources and pathways, key areas of work are looking at how the diagnostic pathway needs to be reconfigured under the new arrangements and how the pathway interfaces with the re-commissioning process.

25% of the work carried out by Oxford Health is around ASD. It is important to highlight this figure. This can be looked at in more detail as well as the impact on the recommissioning process.

10 Next Steps / Key Actions

This item was deferred to the December meeting

11 The Care Act

This item was deferred to the December meeting

12 Overview of the Training Plan

Paulette Hunn, Senior Adult Social Care Training Consultant gave the following update;

The Autism Strategy advises there should be three tiers of training;

• Tier 1 - E-Learning (basic awareness of Autism and

introduction to Autism

- Tier 2 a one day course to understand the key features of the Autism Spectrum Condition (should this include and end of course assessment?)
- Tier 3 one/two day course for those who have permanent contact with individuals on the Spectrum – the course includes the development of support systems, interventions and strategies, signposting to services, understanding behaviour, the history, development of theories and legislation, positive recording and reflective practice.

In terms of feedback, individuals can participate in training but we don't know what they take away from then training and put into practice.

It is difficult to have an outcome for Autism training because of the vast spectrum. There needs to be a person centred approach.

During discussion, the following comments were made;

- The suggestion was made of contacting Lori Williamson, Carers Development Officer BCC, as work has been taking place on the development of an e-learning module for carers in conjunction with the Carers Partnership Board.
- There needs to be a feedback process in place to show that training has been effective.
- Participants on Drug and Alcohol courses are advised that they will be contacted six months after the course to give an update i.e. have any outcomes been identified? The response rate is usually about 50%.
- Could participants be asked to fill in an outcome measure prior to the training course?
- In terms of the E-Learning course, participants could be asked to demonstrate progress made since they attended the course.
- It needs to be made clear that there is a reason for training sessions. They are not just a day out of the office.
- Could there be a pre-test and post-test i.e. the participant

gives a brief overview of current knowledge before the course and an overview what they have learnt during the course.

- The training needs to be balanced. If it is too prescriptive and too onerous, participants will feel like they are back at school taking a test.
- It is the responsibility of the individual to undertake training or the service area as a commissioning measure of a framework and expected standards to be in place.
- Some cases are monitored by the goals with young people.
- An example is a school in London where teachers knew they didn't have an understanding of autism but they were doing their best. After training took place, the teachers reported they felt more confident and fewer children were disciplined in class.
- The cornerstone has to be a proactive approach to training. So much could have been pre-empted if there has been better knowledge and understanding.
- The number of hours of the Tier Two course needs to be advised.
- The courses need to interactive i.e. information on the senses and an understanding of the difficulties those with Autism experience.
- There needs to be a clear understanding of what participants would like to achieve.
- The training needs to be practical based but it is also important to include theory to help give an understanding of Autism.
- There needs to be an understanding of the triggers of Autism.
- Training needs to include examples of experiences.

The draft Buckinghamshire Autism Training Plan is to be circulated to Board Members for comment and feedback.

Action: Sharon Griffin

13 | Any Other Business

Meeting dates for 2015 are to be sent to Board Members.

Action: Sharon Griffin

Terms of Reference for the Partnership Board and Project Board are to be circulated to Board Members.

Action: Sharon Griffin

Agenda items for December meeting;

- Update on the Bucks Joint Autism Plan
- Buckinghamshire Autism Training Plan
- Care Act
- Update and review of the Action Plan and overview of the Autism Strategy

14 Date of the Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Board will take place in Wednesday 3 December 2014, 10am, Mezzanine 1, County Hall, Aylesbury.

Meeting Dates for 2015

11 February 2 September

15 April 14 October

24 June 9 December

Chairman